



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/526,077

10/04/2005

Ki Mun Kim

123051-05024312

8311

22429

7590

02/06/2008

LOWE HAUPTMAN HAM & BERNER, LLP

1700 DIAGONAL ROAD

SUITE 300

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER

FARAGALLA, MICHAEL A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2617

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/06/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/526,077

Applicant(s)

KIM ET AL.

Examiner

Michael Faragalla

Art Unit

2617

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 January 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claim 1-9, 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Park (Patent number: 2000-0000244)** in view of **Hong Park (publication number: 1999-0055454)** and further in view of **Kim (KR2002040481A)**.

Consider **Claim 1**, Park clearly shows and discloses a method of providing an arbitrary sound (hereinafter read as alternative sound) to replace a conventional tone (hereinafter read as ringback tone) in a communication network comprising:

(a) A first step conducted by an HLR (Home Location Register), of furnishing a call receiving exchanger (hereinafter read as MSC), when a location of a call receiving terminal registered through the call receiving exchanger, with first information on whether an ordinary tone is to be replaced or not and second information informing a route to a sound providing means (hereinafter read as IP) (page 4, lines 8-33; figure 2); (according to Park, the HLR 300 sends a routing request in order to connect the

receiving mobile to the receiving MSC. Further, the SCP receives an analyzed information from the MSC 200. The analyzed information includes the MIN. Finally, the SCP performs a Seizeres request to the IP that fetches the alternative sound information).

(b) A second step, conducted by the call receiving exchanger, of requesting a trunk connection to the sound providing means, if the call receiving terminal is called by the call sending terminal caller based on the first and the second information (page 4, lines 22-33).

(c) A third step, conducted by the sound providing means, of determining a tone – replacing sound based on the received third information for the call receiving terminal, and providing the determined tone-replacing sound as a ringback tone to the caller sending terminal through the call receiving exchanger exchanger which the trunk connection is made to (page 4, lines 28-35; page 5, lines 1-18; figure 2).

However, Park does not specifically show that the second step conducted by the exchanger, of requesting a trunk connection to the sound providing means, if the terminal is called by a caller, based on the first and the second information includes furnishing the sound providing means with a third information on call state.

In related art, Hong Park shows that the second step conducted by the exchanger, of requesting a trunk connection to the sound providing means, if the terminal is called by a caller, based on the first and the second information includes furnishing the sound providing means with a third information on call state (page 4, lines 17-24; page 5, lines 1-12).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Hong Park into the teaching of Park in order to provide a method for notifying various call states such that a subscriber may recognize a call state easily in a switching system (Hong Park, page 4, lines 17-19).

However, Park in view of Hong Park do not specifically show that the location of a terminal is registered before a call sending is attempted from a call sending terminal to the call receiving terminal.

In related art, Kim shows that the location of a terminal is registered before a call sending is attempted from a call sending terminal to the call receiving terminal (abstract).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Kim into the teaching of Park and Hong Park in order to use position information to alarm users (Kim; abstract).

Consider **Claim 2**, Park clearly shows and discloses a method of providing an arbitrary sound (hereinafter read as alternative sound) to replace a conventional tone (hereinafter read as ringback tone) in a communication network comprising:

(a) A first step conducted by an HLR (Home Location Register), of furnishing a call receiving exchanger (hereinafter read as MSC), when a terminal is registered through the call receiving exchanger, with first information on whether an ordinary tone is to be replaced or not and second information informing a route to a sound providing means

(hereinafter read as IP) (page 4, lines 8-33; figure 2); (according to Park, the HLR 300 sends a routing request in order to connect the receiving mobile to the receiving MSC. Further, the SCP receives an analyzed information from the MSC 200. The analyzed information includes the MIN. Finally, the SCP performs a Seizeres request to the IP that fetches the alternative sound information).

(b) A second step, conducted by the call receiving exchanger, of requesting a trunk connection to the sound providing means, if the call receiving terminal is called by a second in time call sending terminal under already connected condition to a first in time terminal based on the first and the second information (page 4, lines 22-33).

(c) A third step, conducted by the sound providing means, of determining a tone – replacing sound based on the received third information for the call receiving terminal, and providing the determined tone-replacing sound as a ringback tone to the second in time call sending terminal through the call receiving exchanger which the trunk connection is made to (page 4, lines 28-35; page 5, lines 1-18; figure 2).

(d) A fourth step, conducted by the call receiving exchanger, of requesting release of the first trunk connection to the sound providing means, if the call receiving terminal accepts the call from a caller (page 4, lines 22-33).

(e) A fifth step, conducted by the sound providing means, of determining a tone-replacing sound based on the received fourth information for the call receiving terminal, and providing the determined tone-replacing sound to the first in time caller through the call receiving exchanger which the second trunk connection is made to (page 4, lines 28-35; page 5, lines 1-18; figure 2).

However, Park does not specifically show that:

- (a) The second step, conducted by the exchanger, of requesting a first trunk connection to the sound providing means, if the terminal is called by a latter caller under already-connected condition to a former caller, based on the first and the second information while providing the sound providing means with third information on call state.
- (b) Providing the determined tone replacing sound as a ringback tone to the latter caller through the exchanger.
- (c) The fourth step, conducted by the exchanger, of requesting release of the first trunk connection to the sound providing means, if the terminal accepts the call from the later caller, and requesting a second trunk connection to the sound providing means for the connected former caller while providing the sound providing means with fourth information on call switched.
- (d) Providing the determined tone-replacing sound as a call-waiting tone to the former caller through the exchanger, which the second trunk connection is made to.

In related art, Hong Park shows that:

- (a) The second step, conducted by the exchanger, of requesting a first trunk connection to the sound providing means, if the terminal is called by a latter caller under already-connected condition to a former caller, based on the first and the second information while providing the sound providing means with third information on call state (page 4, lines 20-24; page 5, lines 1-8; table 1); (call states of receiving mobile are recorded and then displayed for the calling party according to table 1).

(b) Providing the determined tone replacing sound as a ringback tone to the latter caller through the exchanger (table 1; page 4, lines 20-24; page 5, lines 1-8).

(c) The fourth step, conducted by the exchanger, of requesting release of the first trunk connection to the sound providing means, if the terminal accepts the call from the later caller, and requesting a second trunk connection to the sound providing means for the connected former caller while providing the sound providing means with fourth information on call switched (table 1; page 4, lines 20-24; page 5, lines 1-8).

(d) Providing the determined tone-replacing sound as a call-waiting tone to the former caller through the exchanger, which the second trunk connection is made to (table 1); (according to Hong Park, messages or music are recorded and then displayed to a calling party to show the state of the receiving phone, which includes putting the second caller on hold, which means that there are to connections (trunks) that are released to the former and the latter callers).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Hong Park into the teaching of Park in order to provide a method for notifying various call states such that a subscriber may recognize a call state easily in a switching system (Hong Park, page 4, lines 17-19).

However, Park in view of Hong Park do not specifically show that the location of a terminal is registered before a call sending is attempted from a call sending terminal to the call receiving terminal.

In related art, Kim shows that the location of a terminal is registered before a call sending is attempted from a call sending terminal to the call receiving terminal (abstract).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Kim into the teaching of Park and Hong Park in order to use position information to alarm users (Kim; abstract).

Consider **Claims 3 and 12**, Park as modified by Hong Park and as further modified by Kim shows the method of claim 1 as well as the method of claim 2, but fails to specifically show that the third information is to indicate that the terminal is busy. In related art, Hong Park shows that the third information is to indicate that the terminal is busy (table 1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Hong Park into the teaching of Park in order to notify the calling party of the call state (Hong Park page 4, lines 20-23).

Consider **Claim 4**, Park as modified by Hong Park and as further modified by Kim shows the method of claim 2, but fails to specifically show that the fourth information is to indicate that either of the call sending terminal calls is suspended to wait for call reconnection.

However, in related art, Hong Park shows that the fourth information is to indicate that either of the callers is suspended to wait for call **RECONNECTION** (table 1; third row

indicates that there is a message for the caller telling him/her to call again because there is no answer).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Hong Park into the teaching of Park in order to notify various call states associated with subscriber phone usage (Hong Park; page 9, lines 11-14).

Consider **Claims 5 and 13**, Park as modified by Hong Park and as further modified by Kim shows the method of claim 1 as well as the method of claim 2, but fails to specifically show that the first information on whether an ordinary tone is to be replaced or not is set in the HLR based on specific key information received from the call receiving terminal.

However, , in related art, Hong Park shows that the first information on whether an ordinary tone is to be replaced or not is set in the HLR based on specific key information received from the terminal (page 14, lines 2-15).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Hong Park into the teaching of Park in order to notify various call states by voice messages instead of tones (Hong Park; abstract, lines 8-15).

Consider **Claims 6 and 14**, Park as modified by Hong Park and as further modified by Kim shows the method of claim 1 as well as the method of claim 2, wherein the first and

the second information are included in a response message to a location registration request message, the response message being sent from the HLR to the call receiving exchanger (page 4, lines 8-21; figure 2).

Consider **Claim 7**, Park as modified by Hong Park shows the method of claim 6, wherein the first information is written in a reserve field allocated in value-added service parameters of subscriber's profile (page 4, lines 22-30).

Consider **Claims 8 and 15**, Park as modified by Hong Park and as further modified by Kim shows the method of claim 1 as well as the method of claim 2, wherein the sound providing means determines the tone-replacing sound based on an identity associated with the call sending terminal, which group the call sending terminal belongs to among several groups classified by a user of the call receiving terminal (page 5, lines 12-18). However, Park does not show that the sound providing means determines the tone-replacing sound based on calling time, and/or calling state.

In related art, Hong Park shows show that the sound providing means determines the tone-replacing sound based on calling time, and/or calling state (tables 1 and 2).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Hong Park into the teaching of Park in order to notify the calling party of the call state (Hong Park page 4, lines 20-23).

Consider **Claims 9 and 16**, Park as modified by Hong Park shows the method of claim 1 as well as the method of claim 2, wherein a message to request a trunk connection to the sound providing means includes called- and caller- identification (page 4, lines 22-27; page 5, lines 12-18).

3. Claims **10, 11 and 17** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Park (Patent number: 2000-0000244)** in view of **Hong Park (publication number: 1999-0055454)** and as further modified by **Kim (KR2002040481A)** and further in view of **Chavez, Jr. et al (Patent number: 6,603,844)**.

Consider **Claims 10 and 17**, Park as modified by Hong Park and as further modified by Kim shows the method of claim 1 as well as the method of claim 2, but fail to specifically show that the sound providing means changes a current tone-replacing sound specified for the called with another one through communication with a web server operating on internet protocol.

However, in related art, Chavez, Jr. et al show that the sound providing means changes a current tone-replacing sound specified for the called with another one through communication with a web server operating on internet protocol (abstract; column 2, lines 38-52).

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of , Chavez, Jr. et al into the teachings

of Park and Hong Park in order to send an advertisement to a calling party instead of a normal ring back tones (abstract).

Consider **Claim 11**, Park as modified by Hong Park shows the method of claim 10, but fail to specifically show that said another sound is one already stored in the sound providing means or received via the web server.

However, in related art, Chavez, Jr. et al show that the another sound is received via the web server (abstract; column 2, lines 38-52).

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of , Chavez, Jr. et al into the teachings of Park and Hong Park in order to send an advertisement to a calling party instead of a normal ring back tones (abstract).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Faragalla whose telephone number is (571) 270-1107. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 am-5:00 pm.

Application/Control Number:
10/526,077
Art Unit: 2617


Page 13

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Corsaro can be reached on (571) 272-7876. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael Faragalla

02/04/2008


JOSEPH FEILD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER